

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2004)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Office of the Code Reviser					
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>06-10-023</u> ; or Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).		○ Original Notice ○ Supplemental Notice to WSR ○ Continuance of WSR			
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject) Amending WAC 1-06-090 Copying.					
Hearing location(s): Office of the Code Reviser, 415 15th Avenue S.W., Pritchard Building, Room LL6, Olympia, WA 98504,	Submit written comments to: Name: Kerry Radcliff Address:P.O. Box 40551, Olympia, WA 98504-0551,				
	e-mail Radcliff.Kerry@leg.wa.gov, fax (360) 786-1529, by (date) July 27, 2006.				
Date: <u>July 28, 2006</u> Time: <u>2:00 p.m.</u>	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact				
	Kerry Radcliff, Rules Coordinator, by July 21, 2006,				
Date of intended adoption: August 4, 2006. (Note: This is NOT the effective date)	TTY (800) <u>833-6388</u> or (800) <u>833-6384 (voice).</u>				
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: Amending WAC 1-06-090 to clarify current copying fees, electronic distribution costs and faxing policy associated with public records, as defined in WAC 1-06-020.					
Reasons supporting proposal: To clarify and set fees in regards to the copying and electronic distribution of public records. These public records are defined in WAC 1-06-020. Fees will include the per page charge for providing paper copies of public records maintained either on paper or electronically; the fee for providing a CD ROM of electronically maintained material; and the fee for formatting a 2-column, publication master copy of electronically maintained information. The amendment to WAC 1-06-090 would also set in rule the office of the code reviser's faxing policy, which is a maximum of ten pages.					
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 42.17.250 and 42.17.300. Statute being implemented: RCW 42.17.250 and 42.17.300.					
Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? Yes No		CODE REVISER USE ONLY			
State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: Yes No		OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED			
DATE June 20, 2006	D	ATE: June 20, 2006			
NAME (type or print) K. Kyle Thiessen	Т	IME: 1:35 p.m.			
K. Kyle Thiess		WSR 06-13-090			
TITLE Code Reviser					

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:				
Name of proponent: (person or organization)	Office of the code reviser	□ Drivete		
rame of proportions (person of organization)	office of the code reviser,	☐ Private ☐ Public ☑ Governmental		
Name of agency personnel responsible for:				
Name	Office Location	Phone		
Drafting RayeJean Saar,	P.O. Box 40551, Olympia, WA 98504-0551,	(360) 786-6777		
ImplementationOffice of the Code Reviser,		(360) 786-6777		
EnforcementOffice of the Code Reviser,		(360) 786-6777		
Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?				
☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement.				
A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting: Name:				
Address:				
phone ()				
fax ()				
e-mail				
No. Explain why no statement was prepared. The office of the code reviser has determined that the rule is not subject of the Regulatory Fairness Act because the rule is for the purpose of clarifing fees.				
, and the second				
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RC	CW 34.05.328?			
☐ Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysi	s may be obtained by contacting:			
Name: Address:				
phone () fax ()				
e-mail				
No: Please explain: The office of the code reviser is not a listed agency in RCW 34.05.328 (5)(a)(i).				
	2. 2			